

Three Clocks in One Economy

By Jeffery A. Garfield · October 5, 2026 · 5 minute read · Edition 1.0

Why rates, jobs, and stock prices can send different signals

Rising borrowing costs, softer hiring, and advancing stock prices can appear to tell incompatible stories. Understanding the difference begins with a simple question: what is each indicator actually measuring?

In this brief, the “three clocks” are the timing of monetary policy and credit conditions, the period measured by employment statistics, and the expectations reflected in equity prices. They interact, but they do not describe the same thing. Their divergence is a reason to investigate the underlying system.

What the evidence says now

September unemployment was 4.2%, compared with 4.1% in August. The Bureau of Labor Statistics nevertheless described unemployment as little changed: it had remained between 4.1% and 4.3% since March. Payroll employment increased by 29,000. Those observations call for careful attention to hiring momentum; they do not establish a sustained surge in unemployment. [1]

On September 16, the Federal Reserve increased its federal funds target range by a quarter percentage point to 3.75–4.00%. Separately, the Treasury’s 10-year par yield reached 5.31% on October 5, compared with 4.79% on September 1. The target range and the 10-year yield are distinct measures. One is a policy setting for overnight money; the other reflects a much longer horizon. [2][3][5]

The S&P 500 also closed higher on October 5 than on October 2. This is a one-trading-day observation about a large-company price index. It is not a measurement of household well-being, every business, or the future path of employment. The index excludes dividends. [4]

The employment figures describe September and were released October 2. The rate decision was September 16. The Treasury comparison runs from September 1 to October 5. The stock-market observation covers one trading session. These are a dated collection of signals, not a statistical test of simultaneous changes over an identical interval.

Why the signals can diverge

The Federal Reserve explains that expected policy, longer-term borrowing rates, asset prices, and spending affect one another. Financial prices can adjust quickly; a business may take longer to refinance a loan, reduce investment, or change hiring. A household's payment obligation can become harder to meet even while an equity index advances. [5]

Investors might expect stronger future earnings, or a future policy path different from today's settings. Those are possible explanations, not causes proven by these headline observations. Establishing a cause would require more evidence.

What the early 1980s comparison can tell us

The July 1981–November 1982 recession illustrates how monetary tightening intended to control inflation can put pressure on economic activity. Unemployment reached 10.8% in November and December 1982. That history is relevant to the transmission of restrictive policy, but its labor-market conditions were substantially different from September 2026. [6][7]

A broad claim that interest rates, unemployment, and stocks are rising together “for the first time since 1980” is not supported. May 2023 provides a counterexample: the Fed raised its target range to 5.00–5.25%, unemployment increased to 3.7%, and the S&P 500 gained 0.25% for the month. May's unemployment figure was released June 2, after the May rate decision. This comparison uses calendar-month outcomes, not information that was all available to policymakers on the same day. [8][9][10]

A narrower claim might use a particular bond maturity, threshold, or sustained sequence. Testing it would require those definitions, a consistent time interval, and attention to data revisions. A historical analogy alone cannot establish either uniqueness or an inevitable recession.

Apply Infrastructure Literacy

Begin with the transmission path. Which debts reprice soon? Whose income depends on continued hiring? Which market expectations must be fulfilled for current prices to make sense? These questions connect public indicators to actual obligations and dependencies.

Illustrative example: a company may hold a fixed-rate loan that matures next year. A rate increase today need not change its current payment. The relevant exposure is the financing available at renewal and the cash flow needed to support it. The same headline therefore has different consequences for different borrowers.

A useful follow-up is to watch whether hiring weakness persists, whether long-term borrowing costs remain elevated, and whether actual earnings support market expectations. Each observation should retain its source, measurement period, and release date. This brief offers a way to ask better questions; it does not predict a market collapse or prescribe an investment.

Sources & scope

Evidence checked October 5, 2026 (America/Phoenix). Employment releases may later be revised. The dated observations are separate from the author's interpretation and the illustrative borrower example.

1. BLS: The Employment Situation — September 2026, released October 2, 2026
2. Federal Reserve: FOMC statement, September 16, 2026
3. U.S. Treasury: Daily Treasury Par Yield Curve Rates, 2026 observations through October 5
4. S&P Dow Jones Indices: S&P 500 daily closing index, via FRED, observations through October 5, 2026
5. Federal Reserve: Monetary Policy—What Are Its Goals? How Does It Work? Updated July 29, 2021
6. Federal Reserve History: Recession of 1981–82
7. Federal Reserve: Historical unemployment observations in Factors in Unemployment Dynamics, December 21, 2018
8. Federal Reserve: FOMC statement, May 3, 2023
9. BLS: The Employment Situation — May 2023, released June 2, 2023
10. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, FRED: S&P 500 historical data, April 28–May 31, 2023 (underlying source: S&P Dow Jones Indices)

Website research brief, edition 1.0. Author-published educational analysis; not peer reviewed. This companion paper is not a chapter extract.

Source maintenance, October 15, 2026: replaced an unavailable S&P PDF reference with FRED's historical view of the S&P 500 series for the comparison period. The original publication date and analysis are unchanged.

Source links

https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/empisit_10022026.htm

<https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20260916a.htm>

https://home.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-rates/TextView?field_tdr_date_value=2026&type=daily_treasury_yield_curve

<https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/SP500>

<https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/monetary-policy-what-are-its-goals-how-does-it-work.htm>

<https://www.federalreservehistory.org/essays/recession-of-1981-82>

<https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/factors-in-unemployment-dynamics-accessible-20181221.htm>

<https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20230503a.htm>

https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/empisit_06022023.htm

<https://fred.stlouisfed.org/graph/?id=SP500&cosd=2023-04-28&coed=2023-05-31>

Continue reading

[Current web edition](#) · [All papers](#) · [The Invisible Economy on Amazon](#)

Educational and informational purposes only. General analysis does not constitute individualized financial, investment, legal, tax or regulatory advice. Examples do not guarantee results. Jeffery earns royalties from book sales. © 2026 Jeffery A. Garfield Publishing.